

Sample Final Exam Solutions

BUSI 521 / ECON 505 — Asset Pricing

Rice University

Question 1

Setup. An investor with infinite horizon, discount rate δ , and no labor income. Investment opportunities depend on a univariate Markov process X satisfying

$$dX = \phi(X) dt + \nu(X)' dB.$$

There are n risky assets with expected returns μ , volatility matrix σ , and covariance matrix $\Sigma = \sigma\sigma'$, and a risk-free rate r . All may depend on X .

(a) HJB equation for the stationary value function $J(w, x)$.

The investor's value function is

$$J(w, x) = \max \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\delta t} u(C_t) dt \right],$$

where the maximum is over consumption and portfolio processes. The HJB equation for the stationary value function $J(w, x)$ is:

$$0 = \max_{c, \pi} \left\{ u(c) - \delta J + [rw + \pi'(\mu - r\iota)w - c] J_w + \frac{1}{2} \pi' \Sigma \pi w^2 J_{ww} + \phi J_x + \frac{1}{2} \nu' \nu J_{xx} + \pi' \sigma \nu w J_{wx} \right\}.$$

(b) Envelope condition.

The first-order condition with respect to c is

$$u'(c) = J_w.$$

This is the envelope condition: the marginal utility of consumption equals the marginal value of wealth.

(c) Optimal portfolio formula.

The first-order condition with respect to π is

$$(\mu - r\iota) w J_w + \Sigma \pi w^2 J_{ww} + \sigma \nu w J_{wx} = 0.$$

Solving for π :

$$\pi = -\frac{J_w}{w J_{ww}} \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - r\iota) - \frac{J_{wx}}{w J_{ww}} \Sigma^{-1} \sigma \nu.$$

(d) Log-optimal portfolio and hedging demands.

The first term,

$$\pi^{\log} = -\frac{J_w}{w J_{ww}} \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - r\iota),$$

is the *log-optimal portfolio* (also called the myopic or speculative demand). For a log-utility investor, $-J_w/(w J_{ww}) = 1$, so this reduces to $\Sigma^{-1} (\mu - r\iota)$.

The second term,

$$\pi^{\text{hedge}} = -\frac{J_{wx}}{w J_{ww}} \Sigma^{-1} \sigma \nu,$$

is the *hedging demand*. It hedges against changes in the investment opportunity set as captured by changes in X .

Question 2

Setup. Same as Question 1, but with log utility: $u(c) = \log c$. Conjecture $J(w, x) = f(x) + \frac{\log w}{\delta}$.

(a) Optimal consumption rate.

From the envelope condition, $u'(C) = J_w$, so

$$\frac{1}{C} = \frac{1}{\delta W}.$$

Hence $C = \delta W$.

(b) ODE for f .

With $J_w = 1/(\delta w)$, $J_{ww} = -1/(\delta w^2)$, and $J_{wx} = 0$, the optimal portfolio from the first-order condition becomes

$$\pi = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota),$$

and the optimal consumption is $c = \delta w$. Substituting into the HJB equation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \log(\delta w) - \delta f - \log w + \frac{1}{\delta} \left[r + (\mu - r\iota)' \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota) - \delta \right] - \frac{1}{2\delta} (\mu - r\iota)' \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota) \\ & + \phi f_x + \frac{1}{2} \nu' \nu f_{xx}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\kappa^2 = (\mu - r\iota)' \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$ for the squared maximum Sharpe ratio and simplifying:

$$\phi(x) f'(x) + \frac{1}{2} \nu(x)' \nu(x) f''(x) = \delta f(x) - \frac{\log \delta}{\delta} - \frac{r - \delta + \kappa^2/2}{\delta}.$$

This is the ODE that f must satisfy (with r and κ depending on x).

(c) Derivation of the CAPM.

If the investor is a representative investor, then the market portfolio equals the investor's portfolio:

$$\pi_m = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota).$$

Hence $\mu - r\iota = \Sigma \pi_m$. The return on the market portfolio W_m satisfies

$$\frac{dW_m}{W_m} = [r + \pi_m'(\mu - r\iota)] dt + \pi_m' \sigma dB,$$

so the instantaneous covariance of any asset i 's return with the market return is

$$\left(\frac{dS_i}{S_i} \right) \left(\frac{dW_m}{W_m} \right) = e_i' \Sigma \pi_m dt.$$

From $\mu - r\iota = \Sigma \pi_m$, the risk premium of asset i is

$$\mu_i - r = e_i' \Sigma \pi_m = \frac{\text{cov}(dS_i/S_i, dW_m/W_m)}{\text{var}(dW_m/W_m)} (\mu_m - r),$$

which is the continuous-time CAPM: expected excess returns are proportional to market beta.

Question 3

Setup. Aggregate consumption follows a geometric Brownian motion:

$$\frac{dC}{C} = \alpha dt + \theta dB.$$

There is a representative investor with CRRA ρ and discount rate δ .

(a) SDF process and its dynamics.

The SDF process is the marginal rate of substitution:

$$M_t = e^{-\delta t} \left(\frac{C_t}{C_0} \right)^{-\rho}.$$

Using Itô's formula (specifically Exercise 12.2(c)):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM}{M} &= -\delta dt - \rho \frac{dC}{C} + \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{2} \left(\frac{dC}{C} \right)^2 \\ &= - \left(\delta + \rho\alpha - \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{2} \theta^2 \right) dt - \rho\theta dB. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Equilibrium risk-free rate.

The instantaneous risk-free rate equals minus the drift of dM/M :

$$r = \delta + \rho\alpha - \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{2} \theta^2.$$

(c) Market price-to-consumption ratio.

The market portfolio is a claim to the aggregate consumption stream. Its price is

$$P_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^\infty \frac{M_u}{M_t} C_u du \right].$$

Because C is a GBM and M depends only on C , we have $P_t = kC_t$ for a constant k . Computing:

$$\frac{M_u C_u}{M_t C_t} = e^{-\delta(u-t)} \left(\frac{C_u}{C_t} \right)^{1-\rho}.$$

Since C_u/C_t is lognormal with $\log(C_u/C_t) \sim \mathcal{N}((\alpha - \theta^2/2)(u-t), \theta^2(u-t))$,

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{M_u C_u}{M_t C_t} \right] = \exp \left[\left(-\delta + (1-\rho)\alpha + \frac{(1-\rho)(-\rho)}{2} \theta^2 \right) (u-t) \right].$$

This simplifies to $e^{-(\delta - (1-\rho)\alpha + \rho(1-\rho)\theta^2/2)(u-t)}$. Define

$$\eta = \delta - (1-\rho)\alpha + \frac{\rho(\rho-1)}{2} \theta^2 = r + \rho\theta^2 - \alpha.$$

For $\eta > 0$ (needed for $k > 0$), the integral gives

$$k = \frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{r + \rho\theta^2 - \alpha}.$$

(d) Risk premium of any asset.

For any asset with price S , the risk premium satisfies

$$(\mu_S - r) dt = - \left(\frac{dS}{S} \right) \left(\frac{dM}{M} \right) = \rho \left(\frac{dS}{S} \right) \left(\frac{dC}{C} \right).$$

This is because dM/M has stochastic part $-\rho\theta dB$, and the instantaneous covariance of any asset's return with consumption growth determines the risk premium.

(e) Name of the model.

This is the **Consumption CAPM (CCAPM)**, also known as the Breeden CCAPM.

Question 4

Setup. One risky asset, one Brownian motion B , constant risk-free rate r . The Sharpe ratio X_t (usually called λ) satisfies

$$dX = \kappa(\theta - X) dt + \nu dB.$$

Investor has CRRA ρ , discount rate δ . The market is complete, so M is unique.

(a) Optimal consumption from the Euler equation.

In a complete market, the first-order condition gives

$$e^{-\delta t} C_t^{-\rho} = \gamma M_t,$$

so

$$C_t = (\gamma e^{\delta t} M_t)^{-1/\rho},$$

where γ is the Lagrange multiplier on the static budget constraint.

(b) W_t/C_t as a function of X_t .

Optimal wealth is

$$W_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^\infty \frac{M_u}{M_t} C_u du \right].$$

Substituting $C_u = (\gamma e^{\delta u} M_u)^{-1/\rho}$:

$$\frac{W_t}{C_t} = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^\infty \frac{M_u}{M_t} \cdot \frac{C_u}{C_t} du \right] = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^\infty e^{-(\delta/\rho)(u-t)} \left(\frac{M_u}{M_t} \right)^{1-1/\rho} du \right].$$

The SDF process satisfies $dM/M = -r dt - X dB$, so M_u/M_t depends only on the path of X and B from t onward. Since X is Markov, the conditional expectation depends only on X_t . Hence $W_t/C_t = f(X_t)$ for some function f , and $W_t = C_t f(X_t)$.

(c) Optimal portfolio.

We have $W = C f(X)$, so

$$\frac{dW}{W} = \frac{dC}{C} + \frac{df}{f} + \left(\frac{dC}{C} \right) \left(\frac{df}{f} \right).$$

The stochastic part of dC/C comes from

$$\frac{dC}{C} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dM}{M} + \dots = \frac{1}{\rho} X dB + \dots$$

The stochastic part of df/f is $(f'/f)\nu dB$. Therefore, the stochastic part of dW/W is

$$\left(\frac{X}{\rho} + \frac{f'}{f} \nu + \frac{f'}{f} \cdot \frac{\nu X}{\rho} \right) dB.$$

However, since $(dC/C)(df/f)$ contributes $(\nu X/\rho)(f'/f) dt$ (a drift term), the stochastic part of dW/W is

$$\left(\frac{X}{\rho} + \frac{f'(X)}{f(X)} \nu \right) dB.$$

Setting $\pi \sigma dB$ equal to this:

$$\pi = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{X}{\rho} + \frac{f'(X)}{f(X)} \nu \right).$$

The first term $X/(\rho\sigma) = \lambda/(\rho\sigma) = (\mu - r)/(\rho\sigma^2)$ is the **log-optimal (myopic) portfolio**. The second term $\nu f'(X)/(\sigma f(X))$ is the **hedging demand**—it hedges against changes in investment opportunities driven by changes in X .

(d) ODE for f .

From part (b), $W_t = C_t f(X_t)$ and

$$\int_0^t M_u C_u \, du + M_t C_t f(X_t)$$

is a martingale. Its differential is

$$M_t C_t \, dt + d[M_t C_t f(X_t)] = 0 \quad (\text{in the } dt \text{ terms}).$$

We can write $M_t C_t = M_t^{1-1/\rho} \cdot (\text{const} \cdot e^{-\delta t/\rho})$. Setting the drift of the martingale to zero and using the dynamics of M and X yields:

$$\frac{1}{2} \nu^2 f''(x) + \left[\kappa(\theta - x) + \frac{(\rho - 1)}{\rho} \nu x \right] f'(x) + \left[\frac{(\rho - 1)r}{\rho} + \frac{(\rho - 1)x^2}{2\rho^2} - \frac{\delta}{\rho} \right] f(x) + 1 = 0.$$

This is the ODE that f must satisfy.

Question 5

Setup. Log-utility investor in a continuous-time model with a single state variable X . Value function $J(w, x) = a \log w + f(x)$.

Optimal portfolio.

With $J_w = a/w$, $J_{ww} = -a/w^2$, and $J_{wx} = 0$, the first-order condition for π gives

$$\pi = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota).$$

This is the myopic (log-optimal) portfolio. There is no hedging demand because $J_{wx} = 0$.

Optimal consumption.

From $u'(c) = J_w$: $1/c = a/w$, so $c = w/a$. Substituting into the HJB equation with δ as the discount rate:

$$0 = \log(w/a) - \delta a \log w - \delta f + a \left[r + \kappa^2 - \frac{1}{a} \right] - \frac{a}{2} \kappa^2 + a \left[r + \kappa^2 - \frac{1}{a} \right]$$

After careful substitution, the HJB equation becomes:

$$0 = \log w - \log a - \delta a \log w - \delta f + a \left(r - \frac{1}{a} \right) + \frac{a}{2} \kappa^2 + \phi f' + \frac{1}{2} \nu' \nu f''.$$

For the $\log w$ terms to cancel, we need $1 = \delta a$, i.e., $a = 1/\delta$.

ODE for f .

Substituting $a = 1/\delta$ and collecting terms, the ODE for f is:

$$\phi(x) f'(x) + \frac{1}{2} \nu(x)' \nu(x) f''(x) = \delta f(x) - \frac{\log \delta}{\delta} - \frac{r(x) - \delta + \kappa(x)^2/2}{\delta},$$

where $\kappa^2 = (\mu - r\iota)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - r\iota)$ is the squared maximum Sharpe ratio (which may depend on x). This is the same ODE as in Question 2(b).

Question 6

Setup. The price S of a non-dividend-paying asset is a geometric Brownian motion:

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \mu dt + \sigma dB,$$

with a constant risk-free rate r . A security pays at date T :

$$g(S_T) = \begin{cases} K_1 & \text{if } S_T < K_1, \\ S_T & \text{if } K_1 \leq S_T \leq K_2, \\ K_2 & \text{if } S_T > K_2. \end{cases}$$

PDE for the value.

Let $V(t, S)$ denote the value of the security at date t when the asset price is S . Under the risk-neutral probability, S satisfies

$$\frac{dS}{S} = r dt + \sigma dB^*,$$

where B^* is a Brownian motion under the risk-neutral measure. The fundamental PDE is obtained by writing the rate of return $(dV)/V$ and equating its expected value under the risk-neutral probability to r :

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} = rV,$$

with the terminal condition $V(T, S) = g(S_T)$.

Pricing using an SDF process.

An SDF process M satisfies

$$\frac{dM}{M} = -r dt - \lambda dB,$$

where $\lambda = (\mu - r)/\sigma$ is the market price of risk. By Girsanov's theorem, $dB^* = dB + \lambda dt$ defines a Brownian motion under the risk-neutral probability.

The value of the security at date t is

$$V_t = \mathbf{E}_t \left[\frac{M_T}{M_t} g(S_T) \right].$$

Equivalently, using the risk-neutral probability:

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbf{E}_t^*[g(S_T)],$$

where \mathbf{E}^* denotes expectation under the risk-neutral measure. Under this measure, $\log S_T$ is normally distributed:

$$\log S_T = \log S_t + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma(B_T^* - B_t^*).$$

The payoff $g(S_T) = K_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{S_T < K_1} + S_T \cdot \mathbf{1}_{K_1 \leq S_T \leq K_2} + K_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{S_T > K_2}$ can be decomposed as:

$$g(S_T) = K_1 + \max(S_T - K_1, 0) - \max(S_T - K_2, 0).$$

This is a portfolio of K_1 in cash, a long call with strike K_1 , and a short call with strike K_2 . Each call can be priced using the Black-Scholes formula, giving

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} K_1 + \text{BS}_{\text{call}}(S_t, K_1, T - t) - \text{BS}_{\text{call}}(S_t, K_2, T - t).$$

Question 7

Setup. A single risky asset with $dS/S = \mu dt + \sigma dB$, no dividends prior to T , constant r . A security pays S_T^2 at date T .

(a) Price using the SDF process.

The SDF process satisfies $dM/M = -r dt - \lambda dB$ with $\lambda = (\mu - r)/\sigma$. The price at date t is

$$V_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{M_T}{M_t} S_T^2 \right] = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_t^* [S_T^2].$$

Under the risk-neutral probability, S satisfies $dS/S = r dt + \sigma dB^*$, so

$$S_T = S_t \exp \left[\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T-t) + \sigma (B_T^* - B_t^*) \right].$$

Therefore

$$S_T^2 = S_t^2 \exp \left[(2r - \sigma^2) (T-t) + 2\sigma (B_T^* - B_t^*) \right].$$

Taking the risk-neutral expectation (using $\mathbb{E}^*[e^{aZ}] = e^{a^2/2}$ for $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$):

$$\mathbb{E}_t^*[S_T^2] = S_t^2 \exp \left[(2r - \sigma^2)(T-t) + 2\sigma^2(T-t) \right] = S_t^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}.$$

Hence

$$\boxed{V_t = S_t^2 e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}}.$$

(b) Fundamental PDE.

Let $V = f(t, S)$ denote the price. The fundamental PDE (derived by equating the expected return under the risk-neutral probability to the risk-free rate) is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + rS \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} = rf,$$

with boundary condition $f(T, S) = S^2$.

We can verify: $f(t, S) = S^2 e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_t &= -(r + \sigma^2)f, \\ f_S &= 2S e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}, \\ f_{SS} &= 2 e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting:

$$-(r + \sigma^2)f + 2rS^2 e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} + \sigma^2 S^2 e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} = -(r + \sigma^2)f + (2r + \sigma^2)f = rf. \checkmark$$

Question 8

Setup. A perpetual call option on an asset with constant dividend yield $q > 0$, constant volatility σ , and constant risk-free rate r . Under the risk-neutral probability,

$$\frac{dS}{S} = (r - q) dt + \sigma dB^*.$$

Solution.

Consider exercising the call when S first rises to a level $s^* > K$. For $S < s^*$, the value of the option is $f(S)$, which satisfies the ODE (obtained by equating the expected return under the risk-neutral measure to r):

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 f''(S) + (r - q)Sf'(S) = rf(S).$$

The general solution is $f(S) = aS^\beta + bS^{-\gamma}$, where $\beta > 1$ and $-\gamma < 0$ are the two roots of the characteristic equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 z(z - 1) + (r - q)z - r = 0.$$

Equivalently, $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 z^2 + (r - q - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)z - r = 0$.

Boundary conditions:

- As $S \rightarrow 0$, $f(S) \rightarrow 0$, which requires $b = 0$. So $f(S) = aS^\beta$.
- **Value matching:** $f(s^*) = s^* - K$, giving $a(s^*)^\beta = s^* - K$, i.e., $a = (s^* - K)/(s^*)^\beta$.

Substituting, the option value for any $S \leq s^*$ is

$$f(S) = (s^* - K) \left(\frac{S}{s^*} \right)^\beta.$$

Optimal exercise boundary by direct optimization. Maximize $f(S)$ over s^* (for fixed S). Taking the derivative:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s^*} = \left(\frac{S}{s^*} \right)^\beta \left[1 - \frac{\beta(s^* - K)}{s^*} \right] = 0.$$

Since $(S/s^*)^\beta > 0$, we need $s^* - \beta(s^* - K) = 0$, which gives

$$s^* = \frac{\beta K}{\beta - 1}.$$

The value of the perpetual call for $S \leq s^*$ is

$$f(S) = (s^* - K) \left(\frac{S}{s^*} \right)^\beta,$$

where $s^* = \beta K/(\beta - 1)$ and $\beta > 1$ is the positive root of the characteristic equation. The optimal exercise policy is: exercise the first time S reaches $s^* = \beta K/(\beta - 1)$.

Question 9

Setup. An asset with price S , constant volatility σ , and constant dividend yield δ . You have the option to sell at price K at any time τ . The payoff is $h(S_\tau) = K - S_\tau$. Consider exercising when S first falls to $s^* \leq S_0$. Let $f(s)$ be the option value for $s^* \leq s \leq S_0$.

(a) ODE for f .

Under the risk-neutral probability, $dS/S = (r - \delta) dt + \sigma dB^*$. Since the option has no cash flow before exercise, its expected return under the risk-neutral probability must equal r . This gives the ODE:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 f''(s) + (r - \delta) s f'(s) - r f(s) = 0.$$

(b) Power-function solution.

Try $f(s) = a s^\beta$. Then $f'(s) = a\beta s^{\beta-1}$ and $f''(s) = a\beta(\beta-1)s^{\beta-2}$. Substituting:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \beta(\beta-1) + (r - \delta)\beta - r = 0.$$

This is the characteristic (quadratic) equation for β . It has two roots: one positive $\beta > 0$ and one negative $-\gamma < 0$.

(c) Boundary condition and value.

As $s \rightarrow \infty$, the put option value should vanish: $f(s) \rightarrow 0$. The positive root β would give $f(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, so we set its coefficient to zero. Hence

$$f(s) = a s^{-\gamma},$$

where $-\gamma$ is the negative root.

Value matching at $s = s^*$: $f(s^*) = K - s^*$, so

$$a(s^*)^{-\gamma} = K - s^* \implies a = (K - s^*)(s^*)^\gamma.$$

Thus

$$f(s) = (K - s^*) \left(\frac{s^*}{s}\right)^\gamma.$$

(d) Optimal exercise boundary by direct optimization.

Maximize $f(s)$ over s^* (for fixed $s > s^*$). Writing $f = (K - s^*)(s^*)^\gamma s^{-\gamma}$ and differentiating with respect to s^* :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s^*} = s^{-\gamma} (s^*)^{\gamma-1} [\gamma(K - s^*) - s^*] = 0.$$

Since $s^{-\gamma} (s^*)^{\gamma-1} > 0$, we need $\gamma(K - s^*) = s^*$, which gives

$$s^* = \frac{\gamma K}{1 + \gamma}.$$

Since $\gamma > 0$, we have $s^* < K$ as required.

The optimal exercise policy is: exercise the first time S falls to

$$s^* = \frac{\gamma K}{1 + \gamma},$$

and the value of the perpetual put for $s \geq s^*$ is

$$f(s) = (K - s^*) \left(\frac{s^*}{s}\right)^\gamma = \frac{K}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{\gamma K}{(1 + \gamma)s}\right)^\gamma.$$