## **Chapter 13: Continuous-Time Markets**

Kerry Back BUSI 521/ECON 505 Spring 2024 Rice University

# **Securities Market Model**

- Money market account has price R with dR/R = r dt.
- n locally risky assets with dividend-reinvested prices  $S_i$ .
- $\mu =$  vector of *n* stochastic processes  $\mu_i$
- $\sigma = n \times k$  matrix of stochastic processes
- B = vector of k independent Brownian motions.  $k \ge n$ .
- Assume no redundant assets, meaning  $\sigma$  has rank n.

• Assume, for each risky asset *i*,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{it}}{S_{it}} = \mu_{it}\,\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_{ijt}\,\mathrm{d}B_{jt}$$

• Stacking the asset returns,

$$\mathrm{d}S/S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}S_{1t}/S_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ \mathrm{d}S_{nt}/S_{nt} \end{pmatrix} = \mu_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t \,\mathrm{d}B_t$$

#### **Covariance Matrix of Returns**

• Drop the t subscript for simplicity. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}S_i \\ \overline{S_i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}S_\ell \\ \overline{S_\ell} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_{ij} \,\mathrm{d}B_j\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_{\ell j} \,\mathrm{d}B_j\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_{ij} \sigma_{\ell j} \,\mathrm{d}t$$

• Stacking the returns:

$$(\mathrm{d}S/S) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{S}\right)' = (\sigma \,\mathrm{d}B)(\sigma \mathrm{d}B)'$$
$$= \sigma \,(\mathrm{d}B)(\mathrm{d}B)'\sigma' = \sigma\sigma' \,\mathrm{d}t = \Sigma \,\mathrm{d}t$$

for  $\Sigma = \sigma \sigma'$ .

### **Intertemporal Budget Constraint**

- Let φ<sub>i</sub> denote the amount of the consumption good invested in risky asset i.
- Let W = wealth, C = consumption, Y = labor income.
- The intertemporal budget constraint is

$$\mathrm{d}W = (Y - C)\,\mathrm{d}t + \theta'\,\mathrm{d}S + (W - \theta'S)r\,\mathrm{d}t$$

where  $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)'$  denotes share holdings.

• Setting  $\phi_i = \theta_i S_i \Rightarrow$ 

$$\mathrm{d}W = (Y - C)\,\mathrm{d}t + \phi'\,(\mathrm{d}S/S) + (W - \phi'\iota)r\,\mathrm{d}t$$

• Equivalently,

$$\mathrm{d}W = (Y - C)\,\mathrm{d}t + rW\,\mathrm{d}t + \phi'(\mathrm{d}S/S - r\iota)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

• Equivalently,

$$\mathrm{d}W = (Y - C)\,\mathrm{d}t + rW\,\mathrm{d}t + \phi'(\mu - r\iota)\,\mathrm{d}t + \phi'\sigma\,\mathrm{d}B$$

• Assuming W > 0, we can define  $\pi = \phi/W$  and write the intertemporal budget constraint as

$$\mathrm{d}W = (Y - C)\,\mathrm{d}t + rW\,\mathrm{d}t + W\pi'(\mu - r\iota)\,\mathrm{d}t + W\pi'\sigma\,\mathrm{d}B$$

• Equivalently,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W}{W} = \frac{Y-C}{W}\,\mathrm{d}t + r\,\mathrm{d}t + \pi'(\mu - r\iota)\,\mathrm{d}t + \pi'\sigma\,\mathrm{d}B$$

• If Y = C, the wealth process is said to be self financing.

#### **First Optimization Problem**

- Horizon T. No intermediate consumption (C = 0). No labor income (Y = 0). Log utility for terminal wealth. W<sub>0</sub> given.
- max  $E[log(W_T)]$  over portfolio processes  $\pi$  subject to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W}{W} = r\,\mathrm{d}t + \pi'(\mu - r\iota)\,\mathrm{d}t + \pi'\sigma\,\mathrm{d}B$$

• Solve the wealth equation like we solved for GBM before (take logs, integrate, then exponentiate). We get

$$W_{T} = W_{0} \exp\left(\int_{0}^{T} \left(r_{t} + \pi_{t}'(\mu_{t} - r_{t}) - \frac{1}{2}\pi_{t}'\Sigma_{t}\pi_{t}\right) \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{T} \pi_{t}'\sigma_{t} \,\mathrm{d}B_{t}\right)$$

• So,  $E[\log W_T]$  is

$$\log W_0 + \mathsf{E}\left[\int_0^T \left(r_t + \pi'_t(\mu_t - r_t) - \frac{1}{2}\pi'_t\Sigma_t\pi_t\right) \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T \pi'_t\sigma_t \,\mathrm{d}B_t\right]$$

• Use iterated expectations to get

$$\log W_0 + \mathsf{E}_T \left[ \int_0^T \mathsf{E}_t \left[ r_t + \pi'_t (\mu_t - r_t) - \frac{1}{2} \pi'_t \Sigma_t \pi_t \right] \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T \mathsf{E}_t [\pi'_t \sigma_t \, \mathrm{d}B_t] \right]$$

Actually need a technical condition for this:

$$\mathsf{E}\int_0^T \pi_t' \Sigma_t \pi_t \, \mathrm{d}t < \infty$$

which implies a local martingale is a martingale.

• Conclusion is: choose  $\pi_t$  to maximize

$$\pi_t'(\mu_t - r_t) - \frac{1}{2}\pi_t'\Sigma_t\pi_t$$

Implies

$$\pi_t^* = \Sigma_t^{-1}(\mu_t - r_t)$$

### **SDF** Processes

- Define a stochastic process M to be an SDF process if
  - $M_0 = 1$
  - $M_t > 0$  for all t with probability 1
  - *MR* is a local martingale, where *R* denotes the price of the money market account,
  - *MS<sub>i</sub>* is a local martingale, for *i* = 1,..., *n*, where the *S<sub>i</sub>* are the dividend-reinvested asset prices.
- 'Local martingale' means zero drift (no dt part).

We can show: A stochastic process M > 0 with M<sub>0</sub> = 1 is an SDF process if and only if E[dM/M] = −r dt and

$$(\mu - r\iota) dt = -(dS/S)\left(\frac{dM}{M}\right)$$

- Use MR = local martingale to get E[dM/M] = -r dt.
- Use  $MS_i$  = local martingale for each *i* to get displayed equation.

• SDF process is

$$M_t = e^{-rt}$$

if r is constant or

$$M_t = \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_s \, \mathrm{d}s}$$

if r varies over time.

• So,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M}{M} = -r\,\mathrm{d}t$$

• With risk aversion, it is only true that the drift of dM/M is -r which we express as E[dM/M] = -r dt

### **Single Period Model**

• The condition E[dM/M] = -r dt parallels a single period model. Set  $M_0 = 1$  and  $M_1 = \tilde{m}$ . Then,

• 
$$\Delta M/M_0 = (\tilde{m} - 1)/1$$

- $E[\Delta M/M_0] = 1/R_f 1 = (1 R_f)/R_f = -r_f/R_f$
- The condition

$$(\mu - r\iota) dt = -(dS/S)\left(\frac{dM}{M}\right)$$

parallels

$$(\forall i) \quad \mathsf{E}[\widetilde{R}_i] - R_f = -R_f \operatorname{cov}(\widetilde{R}_i, \widetilde{m})$$

• Start with *M* being an Itô process with drift of dM/M being -r. This means

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_t}{M_t} = -r_t \,\mathrm{d}t - \lambda_t' \,\mathrm{d}B_t$$

for some  $\lambda$  process.

- The choice of  $-\lambda$  instead of  $+\lambda$  is arbitrary but convenient.
- Then,

$$(\mathrm{d}S/S)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}M}{M}\right) = -\sigma(\mathrm{d}B)(\mathrm{d}B)'\lambda = \sigma\lambda\,\mathrm{d}t$$

• So,

$$(\mu - r\iota) dt = -(dS/S) \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \Rightarrow \mu - r = \sigma\lambda$$

•  $\lambda$  called price of risk process.

• One solution  $\lambda$  of the equation  $\sigma\lambda=\mu-r\iota$  is

$$\lambda_{\rho} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma'(\sigma\sigma')^{-1}(\mu - r\iota) = \sigma'\Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$$

• For this solution,

$$\lambda'_{p} dB = (\mu - r\iota)' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma dB$$
$$= \pi' \sigma dB$$

for  $\pi = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$  (the log-optimal portfolio). Thus, it is spanned by the assets.

• Every solution  $\lambda$  of the equation  $\sigma\lambda=\mu-r\iota$  is of the form

$$\lambda = \lambda_p + \zeta$$

where  $\zeta$  is orthogonal to the assets in the sense that  $\sigma \zeta = 0$ .

BUSI 521/ECON 505, Spring 2024

# Valuation

#### Valuation

• For an asset with price process P and dividend process D,

$$P_t = \mathsf{E}_t \left[ \int_t^u \frac{M_\tau}{M_t} D_\tau \, \mathrm{d}\tau + \frac{M_u}{M_t} P_u \right]$$

for any SDF process M (subject to a local martingale being a martingale).

- Ruling out bubbles, we can take *u* to infinity.
- Likewise, for any (W, C) satisfying the intertemporal budget constraint (assuming a local martingale is a martingale),

$$W_t = \mathsf{E}_t \left[ \int_t^u \frac{M_\tau}{M_t} (C_\tau - Y_\tau), \mathrm{d}\tau + \frac{M_u}{M_t} W_u \right]$$

• Ruling out Ponzi schemes, we can take *u* to infinity.

# **Complete Markets**

- Assume the Brownian motions are the only sources of uncertainty.
- Then the market is complete if the rank of *σ* is *k* (as many non-redundant assets as there are Brownian motions).
- We are assuming for simplicity that there are no redundant asets (rank σ is n), so completeness is equivalent to σ being square and nonsingular.

- Martingale representation theorem: with Brownian uncertainty, every martingale Y is spanned by the Brownian motions meaning dY = γ' dB.
- When  $\sigma$  is square and nonsingular, we can set  $\pi = \sigma^{-1}\gamma$  to get  $dY = \pi'\sigma dB$  w, which is the stochastic part of a portfolio return.

- When markets are complete, there is a unique solution of  $\sigma \lambda = \mu r\iota$  given by  $\lambda = \sigma^{-1}(\mu r)$ .
- So, there is a unique SDF process

### **Second Optimization Problem**

• Complete markets, finite horizon, continuous consumption, no labor income. Consumption process must satisfy

$$W_0 = \mathsf{E} \int_0^T M_t C_t \, \mathrm{d} t$$

max

$$\mathsf{E}\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-\delta t} u(C_t) \,\mathrm{d} t$$

subject to the above constraint.

• Lagrangean:

$$\mathsf{E}\int_0^T \left\{ \mathrm{e}^{-\delta t} u(C_t) - \gamma M_T C_t \right\} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

• Maximize pointwise. FOC is

$$u'(C_t) = \gamma M_t$$

BUSI 521/ECON 505, Spring 2024